



GOVERNOR'S OFFICE OF  
BUDGET AND PROGRAM PLANNING

## Fiscal Note 2011 Biennium

<b>Bill #</b>	SB0191	<b>Title:</b>	Provide funding for court security
<b>Primary Sponsor:</b>	Jent, Larry	<b>Status:</b>	As Introduced

- |   |  |  |
|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Local Gov Impact     | <input type="checkbox"/> Needs to be included in HB 2  | <input type="checkbox"/> Technical Concerns              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Included in the Executive Budget | <input type="checkbox"/> Significant Long-Term Impacts | <input type="checkbox"/> Dedicated Revenue Form Attached |

### **FISCAL SUMMARY**

	<u>FY 2010 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2011 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2012 Difference</u>	<u>FY 2013 Difference</u>
<b>Expenditures:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue:</b>				
General Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Net Impact-General Fund Balance</b>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

### **Description of fiscal impact:**

This bill has no fiscal impact to the State of Montana.

### **FISCAL ANALYSIS**

#### **Assumptions:**

1. This bill requires courts to impose a user surcharge in criminal, civil and probate cases and have the surcharge be retained and used by local governments for court security.
2. Collecting and depositing of this surcharge will be done by the local government as well as making payments of costs for court security.

#### **Effect on County or Other Local Revenues or Expenditures:**

1. All aspects of the collection of this surcharge will be done by the local government in which each court operates. It is not possible at this time to estimate what the revenues might be.
2. Court security expenses are to be paid by the local government in which the surcharges were collected.

*Sponsor's Initials*

*Date*

*Budget Director's Initials*

*Date*